

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO. Box 1450 Alexandria, Viginia 22313-1450 www.tspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/680,065	10/04/2000	Peter Coad	30013630-0003	: 8737	
23485	7590 0 9 /24/2003		•		
JINAN GLASGOW			EXAMINER		
P O BOX 2853 RALEIGH, NO			CHUONG, TRUC T		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2174	4.5	
			DATE MAILED: 09/24/2003	11	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Ap	plicant(s)	
•	09/680,065		DAD ET AL.	λ
Office Action Summary	Examiner		t Unit	<u> </u>
	Truc T Chuong	21		
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, within the statutory minim vill apply and will expire SI, cause the application to b	er, may a reply be timely fil um of thirty (30) days will K (6) MONTHS from the m ecome ABANDONED (35	led be considered timely. Iailing date of this communicat 5 U.S.C. § 133).	tion.
Status				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on		_1		
, —	is action is non-fina			_ •_
3) Since this application is in condition for allowated closed in accordance with the practice under a Disposition of Claims				S IS
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-40</u> is/are pending in the application	ı .			:
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw		ion.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	•			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-40</u> is/are rejected.				
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.				
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirem	ent.		
Application Papers				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.			
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accept	oted or b) objected	to by the Examine	er.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the			` ,	
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on		b) ☐ disapproved	by the Examiner.	
If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep	•	n.		
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120				
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 l	J.S.C. § 119(a)-(d)	or (f).	
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:				
1. Certified copies of the priority documents				
2. Certified copies of the priority documents		• •		
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International But * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	reau (PCT Rule 17	.2(a)).	this National Stage	
14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	•		a provisional applica	ation).
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language pro 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	visional application	n has been receive	d.	,
Attachment(s)	- princip and or		· · ·	
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) 🔲 N	lotice of Informal Paten	O-413) Paper No(s) t Application (PTO-152)	<u>.</u> .
Dates and Trades at Office				

Art Unit: 2174

DETAILED ACTION

- 1. This communication is responsive to Amendment A, filed 07/12/03.
- 2. Claims 1-40 are pending in this application. Claim 1, 6, 13, 17, 22, 29, 33, and 40 are independent claims. In Amendment A, claims 1, 5, 6, 11, 13, 17, 22, 29, 33, and 40 are amended. This action is made final.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

- 3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:
 - A Per Cederqvistson shall be entitled to a patent unless -
 - (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 1-40 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Per Cedrqvist et al. (Version Management with CVS for CVS 1.11.3, 1992,1993).

As to claim 1, Per Cederqvist teaches a method in a data processing system for displaying versions of source code, each version reflecting an instance in an edit history (1.1 What is CVS, page 3), the method comprising the steps of

determining a language of the source code (1.3.1 Getting the source, page 6, .c files (backend.c, driver.c, ...) indicate that these source codes were written in C program);

storing indications of the edits to the source code (1.3.2 Committing your changes, page 6, and 1.3.4 Viewing differences, page 7);

Art Unit: 2174

converting the source code with the indications of the edits from the language into a language-neutral representation (10.3 Conflicts example, page 62-64, shows differences between old and modified versions of the program file, and 5.3 Accessing branches show different version numbers, pages 42-44);

using the language-neutral representation to display the source code in the language with the indications of the edits (5.3 Accessing branches show different version numbers, pages 42-44); and using the language-neutral representation to display a corresponding graphical representation of the source code (Per Cederqvist teaches a graphical user interface for operations because CVS can be run on different platforms including Windows NT/95, 2.2.3 File Permission issues specific to Windows, page 12, and Per Cederqvist clearly shows editing environments are VARY with user operating systems such as: vi editor for UNIX or Notepad editor for Windows NT/95, 1.3.2 Committing your changes, page 6. Notepad and other editors of Windows NT/95 are clearly graphical representations because they are used to view and edit source code with multiple control icons for users to select from.) with the indications of the edits (>>>> and <<<<, pages 62-63, indicate modified part of the code, and 5.3 Accessing branches show different version numbers, pages 42-44) wherein the graphical representation of the source code is not merely a text representation on a user interface (Notepad and other graphical representations are not only displayed the source code in text, but also provide multiple control icons for users to select from.)

As to claim 11, it is individually similar in scope to claim 1 above; therefore, rejected under similar rationale.

Art Unit: 2174

As to claim 2, Per Cederqvist teaches the method of claim 1, wherein the source code and the corresponding graphical representation of the source code are displayed sequentially (\$CVSROOT, page 11).

As to claims 3 and 8, Per Cederqvist inherently teaches the method of claim 1 wherein a rate at which the source code with the indications of the edits is displayed is adjustable because any editing screens of Microsoft Windows can be resized (adjustable) minimized, or maximized.

As to claims 4 and 9, Per Cederqvist teaches the method of claim 1, wherein the source code with the indications of the edits is displayed in reverse order (10.2 Bringing a file up to date, page 61, in first paragraph shows the newest revision of the file is extracted from the repository and put in working directory).

As to claims 5 and 12, Per Cederqvist teaches the method of claim 1, wherein the graphical representation is one of the group consisting of a user case diagram, a sequence diagram (history files for each version control, page 11), a collaboration diagram, a state transition diagram, an activity diagram, a package diagram, a component diagram and a deployment diagram.

As to claim 6, Per Cederqvist teaches a method in a data processing system for displaying versions of source code, each version reflecting an instance in an edit history, the method comprising the steps of:

storing indications of the edits to the source code (page 63); and displaying the versions of the source code with the indications of the edits (history files, page 11) wherein the source code is represented graphically on a user interface in a

Art Unit: 2174

language-neutral representation (5.3 Accessing branches show different version numbers, pages 42-44).

As to claim 7, Per Cederqvist teaches the method of claim 6, wherein the versions of the source code are displayed sequentially (pages 45-46).

As to claims 10, 13-15, they are similar in scope to claim 1 above; therefore, rejected under similar rationale.

As to claim 16, Per Cederqvist teaches the method of claim 13, wherein the source code is displayed after the source code with the edit is displayed (10.3 Conflicts example, page 62-64, display a new version 1.7 after the source code has been modified).

As to claims 17-32, these are computer program product claims of method claims 1-16. Note the rejections of claims 1-16 above respectively.

As to claim 33-37, and 39-40, these are system claims of method claims 1-4, 11, 5-6.

Note the rejections of claims 1-4, 11, 5-6 above respectively.

As to claim 38, Per Cederqvist teaches the data processing system of claim 37, wherein the memory device further comprises a transient meta model, wherein said transient meta model stores the language neutral representation of the source code (2. The Repository, page 9).

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments filed in pages 7-8 of the Amendment A have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicants argued the following:

a. Per Cederqvist does not disclose a graphical representation of the source code.

Art Unit: 2174

b. Per Cederqvist does not disclose a <u>language-neutral diagrammatic representation</u> of the source code.

Examiner disagrees for the following reasons:

Per (a) and (b), Per Cederqvist shows a language-neutral representation to display the source code in the language with the indications of the edits (5.3 Accessing branches show different version numbers, pages 42-44); and Per Cederqvist also teaches a graphical user interface for operations because CVS can be run on different platforms including Windows NT/95, 2.2.3 File Permission issues specific to Windows, page 12; furthermore, Per Cederqvist clearly describes editing environments are VARY with user operating systems such as: vi editor for UNIX or Notepad editor for Windows NT/95, 1.3.2 Committing your changes, page 6. Notepad and other editors of Windows NT/95 are clearly graphical representations because they are used to view and edit source code with multiple control icons for users to select from.

Conclusion

2. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37

Art Unit: 2174

Page 7

CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

3. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Truc T Chuong whose telephone number is 703-305-5753. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30 AM - 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kristine L. Kincaid can be reached on 703-308-0640. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-305-3900.

Truc T. Chuong

09/16/03

KRISTINE KINCAID
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Vistine Vincaid

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100